

## Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554 MAY 2 7 1998

OCKET FILE COPY ORIONAL



The Honorable Dick Armey Member, U.S. House of Representatives 9901 Valley Ranch Parkway, Suite 3050 Irking, Texas 75063

Dear Congressman Armey:

Thank you for your inquiry on behalf of your constituent, Mayor Morris Parrish, Irving, Texas, concerning the placement and construction of facilities for the provision of personal wireless services and radio and television broadcast services in his community. Your constituent's letters refer to issues being considered in three proceedings that are pending before the Commission. In MM Docket No. 97-182, the Commission has sought comment on a Petition for Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making filed by the National Association of Broadcasters and the Association for Maximum Service Television. In this proceeding, the petitioners ask the Commission to adopt a rule limiting the exercise of State and local zoning authority with respect to broadcast transmission facilities in order to facilitate the rapid buildout of digital television facilities, as required by the Commission's rules to fulfill Congress' mandate. In WT Docket No. 97-192, the Commission has sought comment on proposed procedures for reviewing requests for relief from State and local regulations that are alleged to impermissibly regulate the siting of personal wireless service facilities based on the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions, and related matters. Finally, in DA 96-2140 and FCC 97-264, the Commission twice sought comment on a Petition for Declaratory Ruling filed by the Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association seeking relief from certain State and local moratoria that have been imposed on the siting of commercial mobile radio service facilities.

Because all of these proceedings are still pending, we cannot comment on the merits of the issues at this time. However, I can assure you that the Commission is committed to providing a full opportunity for all interested parties to participate. The Commission has formally sought public comment in all three proceedings and, as a result, has received numerous comments from State and local governments, service providers, and the public at large. Your constituent's letters, as well as this response, will be placed in the record of all three proceedings and will be given full consideration.

4

At the same time, the Commission is actively pursuing initiatives that we hope will render any Commission action limiting State and local authority unnecessary. Commission staff, working with the Commission's Local and State Government Advisory Committee, is bringing together representatives of industry and municipal governments to discuss mutually acceptable solutions to the challenges posed by facilities siting. Chairman Kennard has stated that preemption of local zoning authority should be a remedy of last resort, and that the Commission should not consider preemption until the possibilities for constructive dialogue have been exhausted.

Further information regarding the Commission's policies toward personal wireless service facilities siting, including many of the comments in the two proceedings involving personal wireless service facilities, is available on the Commission's internet site at http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/siting.

Thank you for your inquiry.

Sincerely,

1 Steven E. Weingarten

thate contry

Chief, Commercial Wireless Division Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

1

cc: CWD
Dockets (2)
John Conwell
j:\congress\9801048

# CONGRESSIONAL referral to headquarters



		101
	DATE: <u>Jar</u>	nuary 21, 1998
TO: Samantha Peoples, Mail Stop 1500		
FM: Dallas, Texas		
Member of Congress: Congressman  Subject: Preempt Local Zoning	Dick Armey	
The attached correspondence raises policy does not have current information and car statement or response to these issues is exanother bureau.	mot obtain a Commissi	on policy
1 20 1110		11814 17 117
Date recd by OBC	Dames D.  District Di  Date divrd to OLA  Initials:	



November 19, 1997

MORRIS H. PARRISH
MAYOR

Representative Dick Armey 301 Cannon House Office Bldg. Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Representative Armey::

We are writing you about the Federal Communications Commission and its attempts to preempt local zoning of cellular, radio and TV towers by making the FCC the "Federal Zoning Commission" for all cellular telephone and broadcast towers. Both Congress and the courts have long recognized that zoning is a peculiarly local function. Please immediately contact the FCC and tell it to stop these efforts which violate the intent of Congress, the Constitution and principles of Federalism.

In the 1996 Telecommunications Act, Congress expressly reaffirmed local zoning authority over cellular towers, thanks in great part to your effort. It told the FCC to stop all rulemakings where the FCC was attempting to become a Federal Zoning Commission for such towers. Despite this instruction from Congress, the FCC is now attempting to preempt local zoning authority in three different rulemakings.

Cellular Towers - Radiation: Congress expressly preserved local zoning authority over cellular towers in the 1996 Telecommunications Act with the sole exception that municipalities cannot regulate the radiation from cellular antennas if it is within limits set by the FCC. The FCC is attempting to have the "exception swallow the rule" by using the limited authority Congress gave it over cellular tower radiation to review and reverse any cellular zoning decision in the U.S. which it finds is "tainted" by radiation concerns, even if the decision is otherwise perfectly permissible. In fact, the FCC is saying that it can "second guess" what the true reasons for a municipality's decision are, need not be bound by the stated reasons given by a municipality and doesn't even need to wait until a local planning decision is final before the FCC acts.

Some of our citizens are concerned about the radiation from cellular towers. We cannot prevent them from mentioning their concerns in a public hearing. In its rulemaking the FCC is saying that if any citizen raises this issue that this is sufficient basis for a cellular zoning decision to immediately be taken over by the FCC and potentially reversed, even if the municipality expressly says it is not considering such statements and the decision is completely valid on other grounds, such as the impact of the tower on property value or aesthetics.

Cellular Towers - Moratona: Relatedfy the FCC is proposing a rule banning the moratoria that some municipalities impose on cellular towers while they revise their zoning ordinances to accommodate the increase in the numbers of these towers. Again, this violates the Constitution and the directive from Congress preventing the FCC from becoming a Federal Zoning Commission.

Radio/TV Towers: The FCC's proposed rule on radio and TV towers is as bad: It sets an attificial first of 25 municipalities are polaricles are polaricles are polaricles. It sets an attificial first of 25 municipalities are polaricles are polaricles are printended in the municipality doesn't act in this timeframe, even if the application is incomplete or clearly violates local law. And the FCC's proposed rule would prevent municipalities from considering the impacts such towers have on property values, the environment or aesthetics. Even safety requirements could be overridden by the FCC! And all appeals of zoning and permit denials would go to the FCC, not to the local courts.

This proposed is not our dings whom breed nest to users are some of the tallest structures known to man -- over 2,000 feet tall, taller than the Empire State Building. The FCC claims these changes are needed to allow TV stations to switch to High Definition Television quickly. But The Wall Street Journal and trade magazines state there is no way the FCC and broadcasters will meet the current schedule anyway, so there is no need to violate the rights of municipalities and their residents just to meet an artificial deadline.

These actions represent a power grab by the FCC to become the Federal Zoning Commission for sakular covars and bread actions. The windste the intent of Congress, the Constitution and principles of Federalism. This is particularly true given that the FCC is a single purpose agency, with no zoning expertise, that never saw a tower it didn't like.

Please do three things to stop the FCC: First, write the new FCC Chairman William Kennard and FCC Commissioners Susan Ness, Harold Furchtgott-Roth, Michael Powell and Gloria Tristani telling them to stop this intrusion on local zoning authority in cases WT 97-197, MM Docket 97-182 and DA 96-2140; second, join in the "Dear Colleague Letter" currently being proposed to go to the FCC from many members of Congress; and third, oppose any effort by Congress to grant the FCC the power to act as a "Federal Zoning Commission" and preempt local zoning authority.

The following people at national municipal organizations are familiar with the FCC's proposed rules and municipalities' objections to them: Barrie Tabin at the National League of Cities, 202-626-3194; Eileen Huggard at the National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors, 703-506-3275; Robert Fogel at the National Association of Counties, 202-393-6226; Kevin McCarty at the U.S. Conference of Mayors, 202-293-7330; and Cheryl Maynard at the American Planning Association, 202-872-0611. Feel free to call them if you have questions.

Very truly yours,

CITY OF IRVING

Morris Parrish

Мауог

Cc: (see attached list)

#### **COPY LIST**

Senator Conrad Burns 187 SDOB Washington, DC 20510-2603

Sanator Kay Railey Hutchison 283 SROB Washington, DC 20510-4304

Senator Slade Gorton 730 SHOB Washington, DC 20510-4701

Senator Dianne Feinstein 331 SHOB Washington, DC 20510-0504

Representative Tim Bliley 2409 RHOB Washington, DC 20515-4607

Representative W. J. Tauzin 2183 RHOB Washington, DC 20515-1803

Representative Edward J. Markey 2133 RHOB Washington, DC 20515-2107

Representative John D. Dingell 2328 RHOB Washington, DC 20515-2216

Representative Bob Goodlatte 123 CHOB Washington, DC 20515-4606 Representative James Moran 1214 LHOB Washington, DC 20515-4608

Representative Bart Stupak 1410 LHOB Washington, DC 20515-2201

Representative Joe Barton 2264 RHOB Washington, DC 20515-4306

Ms. Barrie Tabin Legislative Counsel National League of Cities 1301 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor Washington, DC 20004

Ms. Eileen Huggard Executive Director NATOA 1650 Tysons Blvd., Suite 200 McLean, VA 22102-3915

Mr. Robert Fogel Associate Legislative Director National Association of Counties 440 First Street, NW, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor Washington, DC 20001

Mr. Kevin McCarty
Assistant Executive Director
U.S. Conference of Mayors
1020 Eye Street, 4th Floor
Washington, DC 20006

Ms. Cheryl Maynard Government Affairs Coordinator American Planning Association 1776 Massachusetts Ave., NW, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Washington, DC 20036



November 19, 1997

C DAILLUAN DESIVUALE

Mr. William Kennard
Chairman Designate
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20554

Ex Parte Letter Re: Cases WT-97-197, MM Docket 97-182, and DA 96-2140

#### Dear Chairman Kennard:

Please terminate all action in the preceding cases. They attempt to make the FCC the "Federal Zoning Commission" for cellular and broadcast towers and violate the intent of Congress, the Constitution and principles of Federalism.

Congress and the courts have long recognized that zoning is a matter of peculiarly local concern. The FCC has no zoning knowledge or expertise and is not accessible to most citizens.

For these reasons and others. Congress expressly preserved local zoning authority over cellular towers in the 1996 Act. Now the FCC is trying to get this jurisdiction back by issuing rules that improperly infringe on local zoning authority.

The FCC's efforts to assume jurisdiction over any local zoning matter where RF radiation is mentioned is unacceptable. The FCC ignores the fact that we cannot necessarily control the statements citizens make during meetings of our legislative bodies. Many municipalities, by state or local law, are required to allow citizens to speak on any topic they wish, even on items that are not on the agenda. This is part of what local government is all about.

Some of our citizens may be concerned about radiation from cellular towers. For the reasons just described we cannot necessarily prevent them from mentioning their concerns to us. The FCC's attempt to use this as a means to seize zoning authority and reverse local decisions violates basic principles of Federalism, Freedom of Speech and the rights of our citizens to petition their government.

This is particularly true if a municipality expressly says it is not considering such statements (that go beyond the radiation authority Congress left with municipalities) and the decision is completely valid on other grounds, such as the impact of the tower on property values or aesthetics.

For similar reasons the FCC cannot "second guess" the reasons for a municipality's decision.
The FCC, like the courts, is bound by the stated reasons given by a manicipality whitsouther our

reasons are sufficient to uphold the decision or they are not. The FCC cannot "second guess" a municipality's true reasons any more than the courts can "second guess" the true reasons for the

FCC's decisions.

The FCC's proposal to ban moratoria on cellular towers is objectionable for many of the reasons set forth above. It also fails to recognize that for some municipalities moratoria are a well-recognized zoning tool, particularly while they revise zoning ordinances. More importantly, Congress took away the FCC's authority over cellular tower zening, and this includes moratoria

Similarly, please terminate the FCC's proposed rulemaking preempting local zoning of broadcast towers. As you well know, broadcast towers can be over 2,000 feet high -- they are some of the tallest structures known to man. It is therefore astounding that you would propose that municipalities can't consider the impact of such towers on property values, the environment or aesthetics and that even safety considerations take second place. Safety always has to be the first priority.

FIRE CO DIDUITE CONTROL TO THE PROPERTY OF THE

And setting artificial time limits for municipalities to act on environmental, zoning and building permit approvals for such towers serves no useful purpose. It is a violation of the U.S. Constitution, the Communications Act and Federalism for you to put time limits on municipalities to act on all local approvals and then state that all such applications will be automatically deemed granted if we don't act within this timeframe, even if the application is incomplete or violates state or local law.

The ECC should consider how it would react if it was told that any broadcast license application would be automatically deemed granted unless the FCC acted on it within 21 to 45 days, that this rule applied whether or not the application was complete; whether or not the applicant was foreign or domestically owned or otherwise qualified; or even whether the frequencies were available. And the rule would apply without regard to whether the tower for the station was at the end of an airport runway, in a wetland or in a historic district.

For these reasons the proposed actions all violate the Communications Act and the Constitution. Please terminate all these proceedings without taking the actions proposed therein.

Very truly yours,

CITY OF IRVING

Morris Parrish

Mayor

/di

cc: Mr. William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission (6 copies)
1919 M. Street, NW
Washington, DC 20054

Cc: (see attached)

### LIST OF COPIES

Connitissioner Designate Haroid Furcingon-Rodi 1919 M Street, 8th Floor Washington, DC 20554

Character and Albanances Manual Disputerace Moch

Commissioner Designate Michael Powell 1919 M Street, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Designate Gloria Tristani 1919 M Street, 8 Ploor Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Susan Ness 1919 M Street, 8th Floor Washington, DC 20554

Shaun A. Maher, Esq.
Policy & Rules Branch
Commercial Wireless Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street
Washington, DC 20554

Mr. Keith Larsen
Assistant Bureau Chief for Engineering
Policy & Rules Divisions
Mass Media Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street
Washington, DC 20554

Ms. Susanna Swerling
Policy & Rules Division
Mass Media Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street
Washington, DC 20554

Ms. Rosalind Allen, Deputy Chief Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2025 M Street Washington, DC 20554 Mr. Dan Fryghyon Acting Chief Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2025 M Street Washington, DC 20554

Mr. Roy J. Stewart
Chief
Mass Media Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street
Washington, DC 20554

Ms. Barrie Tabin
Legislative Counsel
National League of Cities
1301 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
6<sup>th</sup> Floor
Washington, DC 20004

Ms. Eileen Huggard Executive Director, NATOA 1650 Tysons Boulevard, Suite 200 McLean, VA 22102-3915

Mr. Robert Flogel - Albon Associate Legislative Director National Association of Counties 440 First Street, NW, 8th Floor Washington, DC 20001

Mr. Kevin McCarty
Assistant Executive Director
U.S. Conference of Mayors
1620 Eye Street, 4th Floor
Washington, DC 20006

Ms. Cheryl Maynard
Government Affairs Coordinator
American Planning Association
1776 Massachusetts Ave., NW, 4th Floor
Washington, DC 20036

#### CITY OF IRVING

#### COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 11-20-97-692

reactal accommence commence and

WHEREAS, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) proposes to make the FCC a "Federal Zoning Board" and:

- Violate principles of Federalism, where zoning is recognized as being a local concern on which the Wadarab gavernment, cannot, and, should not intrude.
- Violate the Federal telecommunications statutes which, with cellular towers in particular, preserve local zoning authority and prevent the FCC from becoming involved on zoning matters.
- Represent an unprecedented intrusion on local affairs where the FCC can "second guess" true motives for municipal decisions, even where a decision completely complies with applicable law.
- Violates the freedom of speech and right to petition government for municipalities and their residents, by threatening to penalize cities and residents that express concern over radiation from cellular antennas, even if they do so in ways specifically allowed by Congress and the Constitution.

nt norantana autor mont north later annotation in

- On broadcast towers, violate constitutional and other protections by allowing some of the tallest structures known to mankind (over 2,000 feet tall) to be built without any local approval and impose timing constrains which bear no relation to local zoning procedures or constitutional protections.
- Improperly prevent property values, aesthetics, or environmental concerns inches and even specify that safety considerations are not paramount.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF IRVING, TEXAS:

- SECTION I. THAT the City Council hereby urges the United States Congress and the Federal Communications Commission to oppose attempts to present local zoning of cellular, radio and television facilities and to oppose any national zoning for cellular and broadcast towers.
- SECTION II. THAT the Mayor is authorized to execute the attached letters and transmit them to their respective addressees.

TRAIN OF PLANTING

THAT this resolution shall take effect from and SECTION III. after its final date of passage, and it is accord-- ingly'ss ordered. / //// emerica . -

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF IRVING,

TEXAS, this 20th day of November, A.D., 1997

ATTEST:

Janice Carroll, CMC

/City Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Don J. Rorschach City Attorney

MORRIS H. PARRISH MAYOR